# Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

☑ Original	Updated	Correc	cted	Supplemental		
LRB Number 09-29	27/2	Introductio	n Number A	B-0737		
<b>Description</b> Weapons authorized for use under certain hunting approvals, prohibiting certain persons from obtaining or using hunting approvals, authorizing issuance of archery hunting approvals and nonfirearm hunting approvals for hunting certain animals, and providing a penalty						
Fiscal Effect						
State:  No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appro  Local: No Local Government Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive M 2. Decrease Costs Permissive M	Revo	ease Existing enues rease Existing enues ease Revenue nissive Mandatory rease Revenue nissive Mandatory	to absorb within Yes Yes Decrease Costs  5.Types of Local Government Un Towns Counties School Districts	its Affected Village Cities Others WTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations						
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS						
Agency/Prepared By		Authorized Signatu	ıre	Date		
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266	R/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 3/1					

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 3/12/2010

LRB Number	09-2927/2	Introduction Number	AB-0737	Estimate Type	Original
Description					

#### Description

Weapons authorized for use under certain hunting approvals, prohibiting certain persons from obtaining or using hunting approvals, authorizing issuance of archery hunting approvals and nonfirearm hunting approvals for hunting certain animals, and providing a penalty

#### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Bill Summary: This bill prohibits any person who is prohibited from possessing a firearm (felons, certain persons with injunctions or restraining orders, etc.) from obtaining a hunting license that authorizes hunting with a firearm. Under this bill the Department will be required to notify each person applying for any of these hunting licenses that they may not obtain a license which authorizes hunting with a firearm if they are prohibited from possessing a firearm The bill creates a forfeiture of not less than \$500 nor more than \$1,000 for violations of the bill.

#### Fiscal Estimate:

Assumptions: This fiscal estimate is based on statistics for Wisconsin from the Federal Bureau of Investigation/National Instant Background Checks records on long gun purchase applications and on DOJ background checks for handgun purchase applications for 2007. (The majority of hunters in Wisconsin hunt with long guns, however, it is possible to hunt with handguns.) In 2007 there were 180,607 background checks regarding the purchase of long guns, which resulted in 1,529 denials ( or 0.85%) and 38,849 checks regarding the purchase of handguns which resulted in 572 denials ( or1.47%). For the purposes of this fiscal estimate, the 0.85% of denials will be used; however, the 0.85% denial rate on long gun purchase applications occurred in the absence of a forfeiture or fine for applying for a gun. (This bill does have a forfeiture and fine attached to applying for a hunting license.) The assumed number of potential violations may drop as individuals become aware that they will be detected and face a penalty for purchasing the license.

For DNR, there will be 3 primary areas of fiscal impacts:

### 1.) Costs to DNR's Bureau of Customer Service and Licensing (CSL)

CSL will incur a one-time cost estimated by the contractor at \$6,650 to update the Automated License Issuance System (ALIS) and information provided which gives notice to those who are not allowed to possess a firearm. The customer signature receipt language would need to be modified at a cost of \$2,500 to reprint receipts. Additionally, as new license paper stock is ordered, the language printed on the backside of all license documents would be edited to include the new requirements.

#### 2.) Cost to DNR's Bureau of Law Enforcement (LE)

The Bureau of Law Enforcement will likely see some increase in workload required to complete follow-up and enforcement actions on all potential violations when it is determined or suspected that an individual who is not allowed to possess a firearm, purchase a hunting license that authorizes the use of a firearm. The exact cost to the LE Bureau will depend on the number of individuals that might violate this new prohibition. Assuming that the percentage of hunters purchasing hunting licenses who are prohibited from possessing a firearm is about 5 % of the percentage of individuals attempting to purchase firearms who are prohibited from possessing a firearm, the Department may have to investigate as many as 298 individuals annually for possibly violating this provisions of this bill (~ 700,000 total hunters x 0.85% X .05 = 298 suspects). The Department would expect it to take an average of 4 hours to investigate and complete each of the cases for a total workload increase of 1,192 hrs [298 X 4 hours], or an equivalent of approximately .65 FTE's [2,380 / 1820 hrs] and an annualized cost of \$53,725 [ .65 FTE x 2080hrs x \$25/hr x 1.5775 warden fringe rate].

### 3.) Additional State Revenues:

Since the bill creates a forfeiture of not less than \$500 nor more than \$1,000 for violations of the bill, there may be some additional state forfeiture-related revenue, particularly in the first year of the program. If, for example, 25% (or 75) of the 298 individuals investigated resulted in court assessed forfeitures of \$500 each, the additional revenue to the common school fund may be approximately \$37,500 [\$500 x 75]; the additional revenue to a Department of Justice (DOJ) penalty surcharge appropriation may be approximately \$9,750 [\$500 x 0.26 x 75]; and the additional revenue to the Conservation Fund may be approximately \$28,500

from the natural resources surcharge (75% of the amount of the forfeiture) and the wildlife violator compact surcharge (\$5 per violator) [( $$500 \times 0.75 \times 75$ ) + ( $75 \times $5$ )].

One Time Costs = \$ 9,150 Annual increased cost to conduct investigations = \$53,700Total annual additional state revenues: \$37,500 + \$9,750 + \$28,500 = \$75,750. The worksheet for this fiscal note will only reflect the DNR Conservation Fund revenues.

## **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

None.

# Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2009 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated			Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	09-2927	/2	I	ntro	duction Nu	mber	AB-0737
obtaini nonfire I. One	ons authorized ing or using hi earm hunting a	unting appro approvals for or Revenue I	vals, authoriz hunting cert	zing issi ain anir	uance nals, a	als, prohibiting of archery hu and providing a Local Govern	nting app a penalty	ersons from rovals and o not include in
II Ann	ualized Cost	le.		I	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
II. AIII	Idanzed Cost					Increased Cos		Decreased Costs
A. Sta	te Costs by (	Category				morodood ood		Decreased Costs
-	e Operations		d Fringes	T		\$53,70	00	\$
<del></del>	E Position Cha					(0.6 FT		<u> </u>
<del></del>	e Operations		S					
Loca	al Assistance							
Aids	to Individuals	s or Organiza	ations					
Т	OTAL State (	Costs by Ca	tegory			\$53,70	00	\$
B. Sta	te Costs by S	Source of Fu	ınds					
GPF	₹							
FEC	)							
PRO	)/PRS							
SEC	SEG/SEG-S				53,70	00		
	ite Revenues ues (e.g., tax					l will increase ts.)	or decre	ease state
						Increased Re	ev	Decreased Rev
GPF	R Taxes						\$	\$
GPF	R Earned							
FEC	)							
PRO	D/PRS							
SEC	SEG/SEG-S					28,50	00	
Т	TOTAL State Revenues				\$28,50	00	\$	
			NET ANNUA	LIZED	FISC	AL IMPACT		
						Sta		Local
NET CHANGE IN COSTS				\$53,70	00	\$		
NET C	HANGE IN R	EVENUE				\$28,50	00	\$
Agend	cy/Prepared E	Зу		Autho	rized	Signature		Date
				-			3/12/2010	